

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.     | FILING DATE                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/654,665          | 09/04/2003                    | Walter K. Baur       | CHA920030018US1     | 5364             |
| 45095<br>HOFFMAN V  | 7590 08/06/200<br>VARNICK LLC | 8                    | EXAM                | UNER             |
| 75 STATE ST         |                               |                      | ALMATRAHI, FARIS S  |                  |
| 14 FL<br>ALBANY, NY | ( 12207                       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                     |                               |                      | 3627                |                  |
|                     |                               |                      |                     |                  |
|                     |                               |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                     |                               |                      | 08/06/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/654.665 BAUR ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit FARIS ALMATRAHI -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers

| .S. Patent and Trademark Office<br>PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) | Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080731  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date                                    | 6)  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)       | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO   | -948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                  | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action f              | or a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the Internationa                        | l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of</li></ol>      | 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Certified copies of the priority do</li></ol>    | cuments have been received in Application No  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority do</li> </ol>  | cuments have been received.   |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:                       |   |  |  |  |  |
| ,—   | foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be            | y the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |
|  | e correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                         |  |  |  |  |

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,665 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Status of the Application

- This action is in reply to applicant Request for Continued Examination filed on July 14, 2008.
- Claims 1, 8 and 15 are amended.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are pending in this application

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claims 1-3, 5-10, and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gryglewixcz (US Pat No. 6,993,502) in view of Wilmes et al. (US Patent No. 7,313,538 B2).
- Regarding claims 1-2, 8-9, and 15, Gryglewixcz discloses a system and method for processing tax calculation requests, comprising:
  - Receiving a tax calculation request in an industry standard format at a tax engine (Figures 7-9, Column 15 lines 1-55).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/654,665 Art Unit: 3627

> Identifying and resolving customer-specific extensions in the request (Figures 7-9, Column 15 lines 1-55, Column 2 lines 46-58).

- Selecting one of a plurality of tax calculators to handle the request (Column 9 lines 7-42, Column 26 line 48 – Column 27 line 17).
- Translating the request from the industry standard format to a calculatorspecific format for the selected tax calculator (Column 26 line 48 – Column 27 line 17, Column 37 lines 25-36).
- Using the selected tax calculator to process the request in the calculatorspecific format (Figures 7-9, Column 26 line 48 – Column 27 line 17).
- Gryglewixcz fails to explicitly disclose a plurality of tax calculators to handle the request each calculator configured to calculate a different tax.
- However, Wilmes discloses a tax calculation system and method comprising a
  plurality of tax calculators to handle the request each calculator configured to calculate
  a different tax (Paragraph [0097]).
- 9. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Wilmes in the device of Gryglewixcz reference to include a tax calculation system and method comprising selecting one of a plurality of tax calculators to handle the request each calculator configured to calculate a different tax, for the advantage of allowing multiple taxing authorities to coexist on a single server.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,665 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

 Regarding claims 3, 10, and 17, Gryglewixcz discloses a system and method for processing tax calculation requests, wherein the tax calculator is selected based on a rule defined in the database (Column 18 lines 1-15, Column 21 lines 10-43).

- Regarding claims 5, 12, and 18, Gryglewixcz discloses a tax calculation system and method wherein at least one of the tax calculators is for a specific geographic region (Figure 11, Column 26 lines 32-47).
- Regarding claims 6 and 13, Gryglewixcz discloses a tax calculation system and method comprising an update system for updating customer-specific extensions and rules (Column 8 lines 19-53, Column 20 lines 14-30).
- Regarding claims 7, 14, and 16, Gryglewixcz discloses a tax calculation system and method wherein the tax engine resides on a computer network (Column 8 lines 8-18).
- Claims 4, 11, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gryglewixcz (US Pat No. 6,993,502) in view of Wilmes et al. (US Patent No. 7,313,538 B2) further in view of Sullivan (US Publication No. 2003/0055754 A1).
- Regarding Claims 4, 11, and 19, Gryglewixcz fails to explicitly disclose a tax calculation system and method wherein the industry standard format comprises 3Y4 XML.
- However, Sullivan discloses a tax calculation system and method wherein the input format comprises XML (Paragraph [0097]).

Application/Control Number: 10/654,665

Art Unit: 3627

17. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Sullivan in the device of Gryglewixcz reference to include a tax calculation system and method wherein the industry standard format comprises 3Y4 XML, for the advantage of utilizing and easily converting between standardized formats.

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to 35 U.S.C 102(e) rejection have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faris Almatrahi whose telephone number is (571) 270-3326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan Zeender can be reached on (571) 272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,665 Page 6

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627 /Faris Almatrahi/ Examiner, Art Unit 3627

FΑ